AGENDA
March 5, 2019
6:00 p.m.

I. Public Comment: 6:00 p.m.

Public comment on non-agenda items begins at 6:00 p.m., with Council sitting as a General Committee. Each speaker shall have up to five minutes to speak. To assure access to all participants, the presiding officer may reduce the time limit down to three minutes if the number of speakers who have signed up would extend the total comment period beyond 30 minutes and/or may resume public comment after Council’s legislative session has adjourned. Council’s Rules & Procedures may be obtained by contacting the City Clerk or they may be viewed online by visiting www.yorkcity.org/rules-of-council.

Disclaimer: Pursuant to the Sunshine Act, the City of York will only record citizens’ names and the subject of testimony provided during the public comment period. Should you request information or desire a response to your testimony, you must provide the City Clerk or Council President with your contact information. Information you provide will be used by City of York agents to process your request. Your name, address and request for information may be entered into the City of York complaint tracking system.

II. Call Legislative Meeting to Order

III. Roll Call

IV. Pledge of Allegiance

V. Moment of Silence

VI. Action on previous meeting Minutes of February 18, 2019 (Executive Session); February 19, 2019 (Legislative); February 27, 2019 (Committee).

VII. Presentations, Proclamations, Awards and Announcements:

Former Councilman William Lee Smallwood Memorial Service: A public memorial service for former Councilman William Lee Smallwood will be held on Saturday, March 9, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. at Crispus Attucks, 605 S. Duke St., York, PA. The public is invited to attend.

VIII. Meeting(s) Scheduled:

Legislative Meeting: The next legislative meeting of Council will be held on Tuesday, March 19, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. in Council Chambers.

Committee Meeting: Committee meeting scheduled for Wednesday, March 27, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. in Council Chambers. Committee items must be received by 12 noon on March 20, 2018.

IX. Status of Prior Committee Referrals: No reports.

X. Legislative Agenda: (Order of Business – Action on Subdivision/Land Development & HARB Resolutions; Final Passage of Bills/Resolutions; New Business.)
XI. Requests for Future Meetings

XII. Council Comment

XIII. Administration Comment

XIV. Adjournment

XV. Resumption of Public Comment Period (at the discretion of the presiding officer)

This agenda is subject to change before and during the meeting for consideration of such other business Council may desire to act upon including items of business deferred from previous Council meetings. If you are a person with a disability and plan to attend the public meeting, please call (717) 849-2246 if any accommodations are needed to participate in the proceedings. Persons with hearing impairments may contact the Deaf Center at TDD (717) 848-6765 for assistance.
Council of the City of York, PA
Session 2019
Resolution No. 16

Amended

Introduced by: Judy A. Ritter-Dickson

Date: March 5, 2019

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania, and it is hereby resolved by
the authority of the same as follows:

Council hereby approves a Certificate of Appropriateness to be certified to and forwarded by the
City Clerk to the York City Building Inspector who is hereby authorized to issue permits for work to be
covered in the following application(s) as recommended and approved by the Historical Architectural
Review Board:

1. Application filed by Pinnacle Exteriors, Inc. for work to be done at 133 Edgar St.
2. Application filed by Dave Hyson for work to be done at 25 W. King St.
3. Application filed by Mark Kandrysawtz for work to be done at 29-31 W. Market St.
4. Application for work to be done at 113 N. Queen St.
The foregoing work to be done in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the
Historical Architectural Review Board.

Passed Finally: March 5, 2019

By the following vote:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon

NAYS: None

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell, City Clerk

Sendie Walker, Vice President of Council
York Historical Architectural Review Board
Agenda
6:00 PM Thursday February 28, 2019
101 South George Street, York PA. 17401

I  Welcome: Craig Zumbrun, HARB Chair

II  Agenda: Additions or changes to the agenda

III  Minutes: Approve minutes from the February 14, 2019 HARB meeting

IV  Cases:

1. **133 Edgar Street**: A request by Pinnacle Exteriors Inc. for the installation of 23 solar panels on the roof of the property.

2. **26 W King Street**: A request by Dave Hyson for the rehabilitation of the façade of the property to include the repair and painting of doors and windows and window replacements.

3. **29-31 W Market Street**: A request by Mark Kandrysawtz for the replacement of the existing storefront on the property with new black porcelain slab tile and the installation of two back-lit signs flanking the entrance.

V  Other Business
York Historical Architectural Review Board
Meeting Minutes
February 14, 2019

Members in attendance included: Craig Zumbrun (Chair), Dennis Kunkle (Vice-Chair), Rebecca Zeller, Mark Shermeyer, Dave Redshaw, Robyn Pottorff.
Absent: Joe Downing, Mark Skehan, Ruth Robbins

Consultant: Christine Leggio, JMT Senior Architectural Historian/HARB Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION/RESULT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcome and call to order</td>
<td>The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm. The agenda was prepared by the HARB Consultant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to the Agenda</td>
<td>Addition of 113 N Queen Street.</td>
<td>The Board added Case #4, 113 N Queen Street to the agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes of January 24, 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Kunkle moved to approve February 14, 2019 minutes. Mr. Zumbrun seconded. Approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>The following cases are approved with the recommended actions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case #1 – 133 Edgar Street

Discussion: The applicant is proposing to install 23 solar panels on the front and rear of the pitched roof on the property at 133 Edgar Street.

The applicant stated that they will install as many panels on the back as possible but would like to install any panels that do not fit on the back on the front face of the roof. The panels are low profile (three inches thick), grid-type panels with rapid shut down and emergency AC disconnects. They will be rafter mounted, with the supports tied into the rafters rather than the decking of the roof.

Mr. Kunkle asked if the rear roof would be the best location for sun exposure. The applicant stated that south facing would be the ideal positioning and that the rear roof will get more direct sun than the front roof. Because the client wants more panels installed than what will fit on the rear roof, some will need to go on the front, but those panels won’t get full sun or operate at 100% power. The applicant stated that
they would not need to cant or angle the panels to face south. He stated that they will be in line with the existing pitch of the roof.

Zumbrun noted that consultant's recommendation is to install no panels on the front roof and asked whether that would work for their functionality. Applicant stated that the issue was the number of panels the client wants to generate a particular amount of electricity.

Mr. Redshaw asked why none are proposed for the flat roof. The applicant says he believes it is because the flat roof would not be able to support the weight of the panels in addition to snow loads.

Mr. Shermeyer noted that the installation is would be reversible and that the location is not extremely visible, as it is not in the heart off the district. Ms. Zeller noted that the panels may be shiny, and that the surrounding neighbors will see them. Mr. Zumbrun said he is inclined to approve the application as long as it is done with the utmost care not to have them be especially visible. Mr. Shermeyer noted that the street is a dead end and that the houses face west.

Mr. Redshaw is suggested the addition of a rack structure or framework to support the installation. Ms. Zeller asked whether the Board could recommend investigation of placing the panels on the flat roof, and only allowing them on the front of the building if that is not an option. She noted that she would like to include language that this is acceptable due to the location of the building at the edge of the district and is not necessarily something that would be allowable in the heart of the district.

**Motion:** Ms. Zeller motioned to approve the application, in light of the fact that the property is located on the edge of the district in an area that has lost integrity, with the caveat that the placement of the panels on the flat rear roof in preference of the front façade, and Mr. Shermeyer seconded.

**Additional Discussion:** Mr. Redshaw noted that the idea of the HARDB is to improve the district and hesitates to say that the area is not significant. Mr. Shermeyer and Ms. Zeller noted that the installation would be removable. Mr. Shermeyer noted that modifications to make these historic worker houses livable have been made throughout their history and should be allowable.

**Vote:** 1-5 approved; with Mr. Redshaw opposed. Mr. Redshaw stated he feels the decision sets a dangerous precedent and did not feel that the applicant presented acceptable evidence that placing the panels on the front of the property would be necessary.

**Case #2 – 26 West King Street**

**Discussion:** The applicant is proposing to paint the existing first floor wood façade and to make repairs and/or replace elements in-kind as needed; to repair and paint the existing doors, window, and flowerbox at the first-floor front; to repair and repaint the woodwork on the second and third floor front oriel window; to replace the existing jalousie windows at the front basement; to replace eight jalousie windows on the second and third floors with aluminum clad one-over-one wood windows and to repair and repaint the wood sills; to remove the existing paint from the brick façade and to restore the underlying brick; and to replace, on the Cherry Lane elevation, 12 jalousie windows, and to repair or replace four original wood two-over-two windows, and to repair or replace three casement windows. The proposed replacements on the Cherry Lane elevation are composite or fiberglass windows.

Joe Musso presented the application on behalf of Mr. Hyson. He stated that the property will be completely rehabbed, and that all of the front jalousie windows will be replaced.
Mr. Zumbrun noted that the Board discussed this application informally at the last meeting.

Mr. Redshaw asked whether Mr. Musso knew what the historic windows were, and he presented a historic image of poor quality. It seems likely they would have been two-over-twos. Mr. Shermeyer speculated that the windows were likely converted to one-over-one windows when the bay window was added.

Mr. Musso noted that sills are in fairly good condition and the plan is to scrape and paint and repair as necessary. No wrapping is proposed. He stated that the side windows are a mix of jalousie, casement, and three or four original two over two windows. He stated that all of the jalousie windows will be replaced with one-over-ones. Mr. Shermeyer was in favor of the use of one-over-one replacements.

Mr. Musso stated that it is also proposed to remove the remaining paint from brick with pressurized water washing. He stated that it doesn’t appear to need repointing.

Mr. Redshaw recommended a fixed window with sash to match the other replacements in the basement window. Mr. Shermeyer noted that the existing frame and segmental arch should be retained. Mr. Musso stated that the shutters and flowerbox with brackets would be restored, and that the existing entry doors would be retained, with glass replaced in one that is damaged.

**Motion:** Mr. Shermeyer motioned to approve the application as submitted and Mr. Redshaw seconded.

**Additional Discussion:** None.

**Vote:** 0-6 approved

---

**Case #3 – 29-31 W Market Street**

**Discussion:** The applicant is proposing to replace the existing storefront surround with black slab porcelain tile and to install two new exterior 2-foot by 2-foot, 6-inch backlit signs to contain the business logo flanking the front entrance, as well as to install an access ramp.

The applicant stated that the proposed new signage will be small, backlit plexiglass signs installed over the tile, flanking the entrance. A ramp will be added for access as shown in the submitted drawings and similar to others on the block.

Mr. Redshaw asked if the original storefront glass transom was intact beneath the saloon sign. The applicant stated that it was not present when the sign was removed.

**Motion:** Mr. Shermeyer motioned to approve the application as submitted and Mr. Kunkle seconded.

**Additional Discussion:** None.

**Vote:** 0-6 Approved.

---

**Case #4: 113 North Queen Street**

**Discussion:** The applicant is proposing to remove paint spots/splashes from exterior brick at the front façade; repair deteriorated brick joints where needed; and replace rusted and missing downspouts in-kind.
The building is ochre brick, of the “Roman brick” style with thin joints. Mr. Shermeyer noted that the upper story of the building had been previously altered.

The applicant stated that it is proposed to replace the damaged and missing downspouts in-kind, to repair mortar damage in areas as needed, and to remove errant paint drips in various locations using a chemical paint remover and a soft plastic abrasive wheel. Mr. Redshaw asked whether there was any damaged brick that would need to be replaced and the applicant stated that only the mortar needed repair. The Board noted that the mortar should be replaced with a high-lime mortar (such as Type N), and the applicant agreed.

Mr. Zumbrun asked whether any work to the woodwork was proposed and the applicant stated that he would be painting and asked about historic color references. Mr. Shermeyer noted that brown paint was very commonly used historically and that there wouldn’t likely have been more than two paint colors on the building.

Mr. Kunkle asked whether all proposed replacements would be in-kind and if all new materials would match the existing and the applicant confirmed.

**Motion:** Mr. Kunkle motioned to approve the application as presented, and Ms. Pottorff seconded.

**Additional Discussion:** None.

**Vote:** 0-6 approved.

**Other Business:**

**301 W Market:** Grinding of the brick façade to remove existing paint without prior approval. Mr. Redshaw saw the discussion in the minutes for the previous meeting and was interested in the status. Mr. Zumbrun stated that as far as we know they have stopped the work. Mr. Redshaw noted that a sealing coat would probably be needed on the brick as a result of the grinding, however, no applications for work on the building have been received to date.

**Aluminum Railings:** Mr. Redshaw noted the mention of a powder coated aluminum railing in the minutes from last meeting. Mr. Zumbrun noted that the proposed railing was a heavy-duty powder coated aluminum that would mimic iron in appearance.

**Adjourning and next meeting** The meeting was adjourned by general consent at 6:56 pm the next scheduled meeting is set for Thursday March 14, 2019.

Minutes recorded by Christine Leggio, JMT Senior Architectural Historian/HARB Consultant.
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

APPLICATION FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS: 133 Edgar Street

APPLICANT: Pinnacle Exteriors Inc.; Eric Griffin (Owner)

At the public meeting held on Thursday, February 28, 2019, the Board of Historical Architectural Review considered an application for a permit for work to be performed on the above property located with the Historic District.

Proposed Work: The applicant is proposing to install 23 solar panels on the front and rear of the pitched roof on the property at 133 Edgar Street.

Photos/Plans Attached:

Effect of the proposed change upon the general historic and architectural nature of the district [1731.09(a) of the Codified Ordinances of York, Pennsylvania]:

Appropriateness of exterior architectural features which can be seen from a public street or way only [1731.09(b)]:

General design, arrangement, texture, material and color of building or structure and relation of such factors to similar features of other buildings or structures in the district [1731.09(c)]:

Other relevant findings of fact:

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION:

_____ AS PRESENTED IN THE ATTACHED PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET.

_____ AS AMENDED FROM THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET AS FOLLOWS:

DISSENTING VOTES AND RATIONALE: I oppose because alteration placement is possible and does not degrade nature of the district

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND DENIAL OF THE APPLICATION BECAUSE:

DISSENTING VOTES AND RATIONALE:
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

Craig Zumbrun, HARb Chair

This application was reviewed by York City Council on 3/5/19 and has been

☑ APPROVED ☐ DENIED

Henry Nixon, President of City Council

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

The Standards (Department of Interior regulations, 36 CFR Part 67) pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes and occupancy and encompass the exterior and the interior, related landscape features and the building's site and environment as well as attached, adjacent or related new construction. HARb applies these Standards to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

1. A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.

3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

4. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.

5. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.

6. Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

7. Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.

8. Archaeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.

9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

APPLICATION FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS: 26 W King Street

APPLICANT: Dave Hyson

At the public meeting held on Thursday, February 28, 2019 the Board of Historical Architectural Review considered an application for a permit for work to be performed on the above property located with the Historic District.

Proposed Work: The applicant is proposing to paint the existing first floor wood façade and to make repairs and/or replace elements in-kind as needed; to repair and paint the existing doors, window, and flowerbox at the first-floor front; to repair and repaint the woodwork on the second and third floor front oriel window; to replace the existing jalousie windows at the front basement; to replace eight jalousie windows on the second and third floors with aluminum clad one-over-one wood windows and to repair and repaint the wood sills; to remove the existing paint from the brick façade and to restore the underlying brick; and to replace, on the Cherry Lane elevation, 12 jalousie windows, and to repair or replace four original wood two-over-two windows, and to repair or replace three casement windows. The proposed replacements on the Cherry Lane elevation are composite or fiberglass windows.

Photos/Plans Attached:

Effect of the proposed change upon the general historic and architectural nature of the district [1731.09(a) of the Codified Ordinances of York, Pennsylvania]:

---

Appropriateness of exterior architectural features which can be seen from a public street or way only [1731.09(a)]:

---

General design, arrangement, texture, material and color of building or structure and relation of such factors to similar features of other buildings or structures in the district [1731.09(c)]:

---

Other relevant findings of fact:

---

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION:

☐ AS PRESENTED IN THE ATTACHED PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET.

☐ AS AMENDED FROM THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET AS FOLLOWS: 

---

DISSenting VOTES AND RATIONALE:

---

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND DENIAL OF THE APPLICATION BECAUSE:
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

DISSenting votes and Rationale:

Craig Zumbrun, HARB Chair

This application was reviewed by York City Council on 3/5/19 and has been
[ ] APPROVED [x] DENIED

Henry Nixon, President of City Council

The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation

The Standards (Department of Interior regulations, 36 CFR Part 67) pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes and occupancy and encompass the exterior and the interior, related landscape features and the building’s site and environment as well as attached, adjacent or related new construction. HARB applies these Standards to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

1. A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.

3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

4. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.

5. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.

6. Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

7. Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.

8. Archaeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.

9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

APPLICATION FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS: 29-31 W Market Street

APPLICANT: Mark Kandrysawtz

At the public meeting held on Thursday, February 28, 2019 the Board of Historical Architectural Review considered an application for a permit for work to be performed on the above property located with the Historic District.

Proposed Work: The applicant is proposing to replace the existing storefront surround with black slab porcelain tile and to install two new exterior 2-foot by 2-foot, 6-inch backlit signs to contain the business logo flanking the front entrance.

Photos/Plans Attached:

Effect of the proposed change upon the general historic and architectural nature of the district [1731.09(a) of the Codified Ordinances of York, Pennsylvania]:

Appropriateness of exterior architectural features which can be seen from a public street or way only [1731.09(b)]:

General design, arrangement, texture, material and color of building or structure and relation of such factors to similar features of other buildings or structures in the district [1731.09(c)]:

Other relevant findings of fact:

Materials have been used in the neighborhood, tasteful, and in keeping with the character of the neighborhood.

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION:

[ ] AS PRESENTED IN THE ATTACHED PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET.

[ ] AS AMENDED FROM THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET AS FOLLOWS:

DISSENTING VOTES AND RATIONALE:

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND DENIAL OF THE APPLICATION BECAUSE:

DISSENTING VOTES AND RATIONALE:
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

Craig Zumbrun, HARB Chair

This application was reviewed by York City Council on 3/5/19 and has been
\[\text{APPROVED} \quad \text{DENIED}\]

Henry Nixon, President of City Council

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

The Standards (Department of Interior regulations, 36 CFR Part 67) pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes and occupancy and encompass the exterior and the interior, related landscape features and the building's site and environment as well as attached, adjacent or related new construction. HARB applies these Standards to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

1. A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.
2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.
3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.
4. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.
5. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.
6. Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.
7. Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.
8. Archaeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.
9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.
10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

APPLICATION FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS: 113 N Queen Street

APPLICANT: Richard R Willis

At the public meeting held on Thursday, February 28, 2019 the Board of Historical Architectural Review considered an application for a permit for work to be performed on the above property located with the Historic District.

Proposed Work: The applicant is proposing to remove paint spots/splashes from exterior brick at the front façade; repair deteriorated brick joints where needed; and replace rusted and missing downspouts in-kind.

Photos/Plans Attached:

Effect of the proposed change upon the general historic and architectural nature of the district [1731.09(a) of the Codified Ordinances of York, Pennsylvania]:

__________________________________________________________________________

Appropriateness of exterior architectural features which can be seen from a public street or way only [1731.09(b)]:

__________________________________________________________________________

General design, arrangement, texture, material and color of building or structure and relation of such factors to similar features of other buildings or structures in the district [1731.09(c)]:

__________________________________________________________________________

Other relevant findings of fact:

Materials in kind & matching existing

__________________________________________________________________________

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION:

____ AS PRESENTED IN THE ATTACHED PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET.

____ AS AMENDED FROM THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW SHEET AS FOLLOWS: ____________

__________________________________________________________________________

DISSENTING VOTES AND RATIONALE:

__________________________________________________________________________

WHEREUPON THE BOARD VOTED TO RECOMMEND DENIAL OF THE APPLICATION BECAUSE:

__________________________________________________________________________

DISSENTING VOTES AND RATIONALE:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________
CERTIFICATE of APPROPRIATENESS
RECOMMENDATION to YORK CITY COUNCIL

Craig Zumbrun, HARB Chair

This application was reviewed by York City Council on 3/5/19 and has been
X APPROVED  DENIED

Henry Nixon, President of City Council

The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

The Standards (Department of Interior regulations, 36 CFR Part 67) pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes and occupancy and encompass the exterior and the interior, related landscape features and the building's site and environment as well as attached, adjacent or related new construction. HARB applies these Standards to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

1. A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.
2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.
3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.
4. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.
5. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.
6. Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.
7. Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.
8. Archaeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.
9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.
10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.
Council of the City of York, PA  
Session 2019  
Resolution No. 17

INTRODUCED BY: Evangelina Washington  
DATE: March 5, 2019

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania, that Council hereby consents to the appointment made by the Mayor of Christopher M. Velez as a member of the York City Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term of Mike Pritchard which term shall expire January 1, 2022.

PASSED FINALLY: March 5, 2019  
BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon - 5

NAYS: None

Henry Hay Nixon, President of Council

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell, City Clerk
The City of York, Pennsylvania

OATH OF OFFICE

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA   )
                                  )    SS:
COUNTY OF YORK                  )
                                  )

I, Christopher M. Velez, do solemnly affirm that I will support, obey, and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of this Commonwealth, and that I will discharge the duties of my office with fidelity.

(Signature)

Appointment to the York City Planning Commission Resolution No. 17 of 2019

Sworn and subscribed before me this 5th day of March 2019.

Notary Public

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

NOTARIAL SEAL

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell, Notary Public
City of York, York County
My Commission Expires April 16, 2021

MEMBER, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF NOTARIES
Executive Order

Issued by Michael R. Helfrich, Mayor

I hereby appoint Christopher M. Velez, as a member of the York City Planning Commission, to fill the unexpired term of Mike Pritchard, which term shall expire January 1, 2022.

Witness my hand and seal this twenty-sixth day of December 2018.

Michael R. Helfrich
Mayor
INTRODUCED BY: H. Michael Buckingham

DATE: March 5, 2019

WHEREAS, on or about January 1, 1996, the York City General Authority ("Authority") and the City entered into a Parking Meter Revenue Agreement whereby the City agreed to provide certain services related to the parking meters on behalf of the Authority, the Authority and the City agree to sharing of revenue from the meters, and the City and the Authority established a process for establishing rates, hours of operations, and locations of the meters; and

WHEREAS, the Parking Meter Revenue Agreement provided that the terms should be binding while the 1996 Bonds were outstanding; and

WHEREAS, the 1996 Bonds have been paid in full; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that the Parking Meter Revenue Agreement should be updated to reflect current operating procedures.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that City Council for the City of York approves the Parking Meter Revenue Agreement between the City of York and the York City General Authority a copy of which is attached hereto and marked Exhibit 1.

PASSED FINALLY: March 5, 2019

BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon - 5

NAYS: None

Henry Ray Nixon, President of Council

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell, City Clerk
Council of the City of York, PA  
Session 2019  
Resolution No. 19

INTRODUCED BY: Edquina Washington  
DATE: March 5, 2019

A RESOLUTION

Adopting the York County 2018 Hazard Mitigation Plan as the City of York's Hazard Mitigation Plan.

WHEREAS, the City of York recognizes the threats that natural and human-made hazards pose to public health, safety, and welfare; and

WHEREAS, Section 322 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) requires state and local governments to develop and submit for approval to the President a mitigation plan that outlines processes for identifying their respective natural hazards, risks, and vulnerabilities; and

WHEREAS, the City of York acknowledges the requirements of Section 322 of DMA 2000 to have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan as a prerequisite to receiving pre- and post-disaster Hazard Mitigation Grant Program funds; and

WHEREAS, the York County 2018 Hazard Mitigation Plan has been developed by the York County Planning Commission in cooperation with other county departments, municipalities, related entities, citizens of York County, and the City of York, under the guidance of a Hazard Mitigation Local Planning Team; and

WHEREAS, a public involvement process consistent with the requirements of DMA 2000 was conducted to develop the York County 2018 Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the York County 2018 Hazard Mitigation Plan recommends mitigation actions that will reduce losses to life and property affected by both natural and human-made hazards that face York County and its 72 municipal governments,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania, that the York County 2018 Hazard Mitigation Plan is adopted as its official Hazard Mitigation Plan and is to be implemented by the agencies identified within the Plan to the extent/time frame determined feasible by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania and the identified cooperating agencies.

PASSED FINALLY: March 5, 2019  
BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon

NAYS: None

Henry H. Nixon, President of Council

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell, City Clerk

H:\Morpheus Home\Resolutions-2019\Hazard-mitigation-plan.doc
Council of the City of York, PA
Session 2019
Resolution No. 20

Click [here](#) to view proposal

Introduced By: Sandie Walker

Date: March 5, 2019

WHEREAS, the City of York, York County, desires to contract for electrical equipment maintenance; and

WHEREAS, IB ABEL INC, 2745 Black Bridge Road, York, PA 17406 has provided a proposal to maintenance our electrical equipment; and

WHEREAS, following a review of the electrical equipment maintenance proposal this has been selected; and

WHEREAS, the cost for the electrical equipment will be $243,351.04 for three years;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania that Council hereby authorizes the City to enter into an agreement with IB ABEL to provide electrical equipment maintenance at the Waste Water Treatment Plant. The cost of said services shall be paid from the Waste Water Treatment Plant account number 61-440-44400-00000.

The Mayor is authorized, and the Controller is authorized and directed to enter into a written Agreement, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, for same on behalf of the City of York, Pennsylvania.

PASSED FINALLY: March 5, 2019

BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon - 5

NAYS: None

Henry Hay, Nixon
PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell
CITY CLERK

H:\Morpheus Home\Resolutions-2019\IB-Abel-Electric-$243.3K-Res..doc
Council of the City of York, PA  
Session 2019  
Resolution No.21  

INTRODUCED BY: Sandie Walker  

DATE: March 5, 2019  

WHEREAS, the City of York, York County desires to contract for services for the Hamilton Avenue and George Street sidewalk improvements; and 

WHEREAS, bids were received from three (3) contractors with Farhat Excavating, LLC, 3139 Susquehanna Trail, Duncannon, PA 17020 being the lowest responsive bid. 

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania that Council hereby authorizes the City to award a contract for the 2019 Hamilton Avenue and N George Street sidewalk improvements to Farhat Excavating, LLC in accordance with the price set forth in the attached bid tabulation sheet, which said bid has been received and reviewed by the City and found to be correct, said contract shall be in the amount of $94,370.00 which said cost shall be paid from DCNR Grant Funds, account number 50-420-47120-00322. 

The Mayor is authorized, and the Controller is authorized and directed to enter into a contract for same on behalf of the City of York, Pennsylvania. 

PASSED FINALLY: March 5, 2019  

BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE: 

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon - 5 

NAYS: None 

Henry Hay Nixon, President of Council 

ATTEST: 

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell, City Clerk
Council of the City of York, PA  
Session 2019  
Resolution No. 22

Introduced By: Sandie Walker  
Date: March 5, 2019

WHEREAS, City Council is requesting a transfer of funds in the 2018 Budget in the amount of $1,095.00 to cover costs for gloves, hearing protection, respirators, first aid kits and gloves; and

WHEREAS, said transfer exceeds five percent (5%) of the department's appropriated budget in that fund; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Article 137.02 (b) of the Codified Ordinance of the City of York, such transfer shall require an affirmative vote of at least four members of Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania that Council hereby approves the transfer in accordance with the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-421-44210-00000</td>
<td>Other Repair Service</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,095.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-421-41130-00000</td>
<td>Clothing/Shoes/Uniforms</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,095.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PASSED FINALLY: March 5, 2019  
BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon - 5

NAYS: None

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell  
City Clerk

Henry Hay, Nixon  
President of Council
Council of the City of York, PA
Session 2019
Resolution No.23

Introduced by: Sandie Walker

Date: March 5, 2019

WHEREAS, City Council is requesting a transfer of funds in the 2018 Budget in the amount of $3,000.00 to cover costs for street line painting; and

WHEREAS, said transfer exceeds five percent (5%) of the department’s appropriated budget in that fund; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Article 137.02 (b) of the Codified Ordinance of the City of York, such transfer shall require an affirmative vote of at least four members of Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of York, Pennsylvania that Council hereby approves the transfer in accordance with the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-421-44180-10008</td>
<td>Vehicle/Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-421-44400-10006</td>
<td>Other Contractual</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PASSED FINALLY: May 5, 2019

BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

YEAS: Washington, Ritter-Dickson, Buckingham, Walker, Nixon - 5

NAYS: None

ATTEST:

Dianna L. Thompson-Mitchell
City Clerk

H:\Morpheus Home\Resolutions-2019\PW-Budget-Xfer-$3K-street-line-painting.doc