



**York Historical Architectural Review Board
Meeting Minutes
May 28, 2020**

Members in attendance included: Craig Zumbun (Chair), Dennis Kunkle (Vice-Chair), Ruth Robbins, Mark Shermeyer

Absent: Rebecca Zeller, Dave Redshaw, Robyn Pottorff, Mark Skehan, Joe Downing

Consultant: Christine Leggio, JMT Senior Architectural Historian/ HARB Consultant

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION/RESULT
Welcome and call to order	The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm. The agenda was prepared by the HARB Consultant.	
Changes to the Agenda		The item was added to the agenda
Minutes of April 23, 2020		Mr. Kunkle motioned to approve April 23, 2020 minutes. Mr. Shermeyer seconded. Approved.
Cases	The following cases are approved with the recommended actions.	

Case #1 – 323 S George Street: A request by “St Mary’s” Immaculate Conception Church for the replacement of the existing slate roof with a new architectural shingle roof.

Discussion: The applicant’s representative was not on the line and the application was tabled.

Motion: N/A

Additional Discussion: N/A

Vote: N/A

Case #2 – 101 S Pershing Street: A request by SCPII, LLC for the renovation of the property, to include partial demolition and window and door replacements.

Discussion: Mr. Joseph Musso presented the application. He noted that the property was being converted to a single-family home. Sierra Pacific aluminum clad double-hung windows are proposed for the window replacements. He noted that the addition proposed for demolition is in poor condition and that the doors fronting on W King Street had been drywalled over on the interior of the building but would be reopened and replaced with more appropriate doors as part of the proposed work. He noted that the main entry door fronting on Pershing Street was likely once a set of double doors and that it is proposed to restore that condition using custom made doors.

Mr. Shermeyer noted that the proposal is a good one and that he believes it will improve the building. Mr. Kunkle agreed.

Mr. Shermeyer noted that the door fronting on Pershing Street was likely originally a two-leaf door of the same configuration as the interior vestibule doors, which consist of a large pane of glass over a single wood panel on each leaf. He recommended that the replacement front doors mimic this configuration using solid wood panels rather than glass panes in the same proportion. Mr. Musso agreed that the look would be appropriate.

Motion: Mr. Kunkle motioned to approve the application as presented with the recommendation to install a set of double doors matching or similar to the interior vestibule doors with two solid wood panels on each leaf. Mr. Shermeyer seconded.

Additional Discussion: N/A

Vote: 4-0. The motion was passed with all in favor.

Case #3 – 122 W Springettsbury Avenue: A request by Kevin Feil (Director of Facilities at YCP) for exterior renovations to the property, to include the replacement of the existing brick front entry steps with new, poured concrete steps, as well as replacement of the existing porch columns.

Discussion: Mr. Kevin Feil presented the application and explained that the proposed work included scraping, spot repointing as needed, and repainting of the previously painted brick exterior envelope. He noted that existing gutters and downspouts would be replaced with new half round gutters and round downspouts in a white color. He noted that the existing shingles on a rear portion of the building would be replaced with a composite material, and that the roof would be replaced with new architectural shingles.

Mr. Shermeyer asked whether the shingles would be replaced with composite board siding or shingles, and the applicant indicated that shingles mimicking the historic shingles would be used. He also noted that the same shingles would be used on the dormer cheeks rather than the asphalt roofing shingles, which is the current building condition.

Mr. Zumbrun asked whether the rear steps would be altered, and the applicant indicated that they would be cleaned but not altered. Mr. Zumbrun asked whether the rear storm door would be altered, and the applicant noted that it would likely be replaced in-kind in the future. He also noted that the shingles on that portion of the rear of the property would be scraped and repainted but not replaced at this time.

Motion: Mr. Shermeyer motioned to approve the application as submitted and Mrs. Robbins seconded.

Additional Discussion: N/A

Vote: 4-0. The motion was passed with all in favor.

Case #1 – 323 S George Street: A request by “St Mary’s” Immaculate Conception Church for the replacement of the existing slate roof with a new architectural shingle roof.

Discussion: The applicant’s representative joined the call and the case was reopened. The applicant explained that the roof over the rear section of the building, which is slate, is proposed to be replaced using a heavy-duty architectural shingle. He noted that the roof on that portion of the building is not highly visible from any right-of-way.

Mr. Shermeyer agreed regarding the visibility of the roof and noted that the propose architectural shingle would mimic the historic character of the roof.

Motion: Mr. Shermeyer motioned to approve the application as submitted and Mrs. Robbins seconded.

Additional Discussion: N/A

Vote: 4-0. The motion was passed with all in favor.

Other Business: Mr. Zumbrun asked the Board about their feelings on resuming in-person meetings as restrictions on public activities are loosened, and the Board generally agreed to proceed with caution as more information becomes available.

Adjourning and next meeting **The meeting was adjourned by general consent at 6:45 pm the next scheduled meeting is set for Thursday June 11, 2020.**

Minutes recorded by Christine Leggio, JMT Senior Architectural Historian/HARB Consultant.